

<p>PEP</p> <p>MINI-GRANT APPLICATION</p> <p>SCORING RUBRIC</p>

Application Number

Total Points

Comments

1. Student Learning Objectives

Learning objectives stated	
Project appropriate for age level	

Total: _____

2. Methods of Instruction

Activities clearly described	
Student involvement described	
Activities support "hands-on" learning	

Total: _____

3. Project Timeline

Beginning/ending dates stated	
Project – minimum of 3 weeks	
Time sufficient to complete project	

Total: _____

4. Method of Evaluation

Learning objectives achieved	
Academic outcomes clear	

Total: _____

5. Budget

Materials/supplies clearly described	
Costs reasonable for activities	
Costs reasonable for number served	

Total: _____

6. Summary of Project

Proposal makes sense	
Directions followed	
Creative – innovative project	
Students engaged	
Uniqueness of project	

Total: _____

Maximum 36 points (possible 2 points per item)

Reviewer Comments: _____

Application Guidelines

PEP **does not** fund

- ❖ Computer hardware
- ❖ Audio-visual equipment
- ❖ Field trips
- ❖ Pre-packaged kits

Criteria

- Clarity – proposal makes sense
- Completeness - adheres to guidelines
- Project can be completed in time allotted
- Evaluation reflects clear educational outcomes
- Costs are reasonable & appropriate for project
- Innovative
- Creative
- “Hands on” learning
- Positive learning experience for students